

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,650	10/605,650 10/15/2003		Yen-Jen Chang	ACMP0067USA	2649
27765	7590	10/16/2006		EXAMINER	
NORTH AI		MILLER, BRIAN E			
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2627	-
				DATE MAILED: 10/16/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/605,650	CHANG, YEN-JEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian E. Miller	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for e. cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 July 2006.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ∑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 8-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 8-19 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10/15/03 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is $\alpha$	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:      1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  Is have been received in Application of the second in the seco	ation Noved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

Claims 8-19 are now pending.

#### Election/Restrictions

Page 2

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group II, i.e., claims 8-19 in the reply filed on 7/27/06 is acknowledged. Since the withdrawn claims, 1-7, have been canceled, only the elected claims remain pending and will be subsequently examined.

### **Priority**

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to because in FIG. 10, the element(s) pictured should be identified appropriately. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet"

Art Unit: 2627

or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: (a) throughout the specification, the use of "bores" and "bases" have been used interchangeable, however, it is suggested to remain consistent and use one or the other, so as not to imply the components having different structures. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 8-9, 13-16, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by FIGs. 1-2, 4-5 of applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA). (As per claim 8) The AAPA discloses (see also para [0009] of specification starting with "Please refer to Fig.4.") a position adjustment device for adjusting a position of a mounting plate 12 having a plurality of though holes relative to a tray of an optical disk drive for preventing an optical disk mounted on the mounting plate from colliding with the tray, the device comprising: a plurality of bases (bores) 30 installed on the tray 28 corresponding to the through holes (unnumbered) on the mounting plate 12; a

Application/Control Number: 10/605,650

Art Unit: 2627

plurality of screws (unnumbered shown in FIG. 5) threaded into the bases (bores) 30 through the

Page 4

corresponding through holes to fasten the mounting plate onto the tray 28; and a plurality of nuts

(not shown-see specification para [0009]) threaded onto the screws to fasten the screws onto the

mounting plate 10; (as per claim 9) wherein a depth of each of the screws in the corresponding

base is set to adjust the relative alignment of the mounting plate and the tray, which follows from

the above structure; (as per claim 13) wherein a plurality of dampers 24 located are between the

nuts and the mounting plate (as described above), and between a head of each of the screws and

the mounting plate for preventing the optical disk drive from being affected by vibrations during

operation (as described and as known in the art); (as per claim 14) wherein the dampers are

rubber pads (as described in the specification).

As claims 15-16 have similar limitations to that of claims 1-2, they are rejected under the same

grounds, as described above. Further, (as per claim 18) the damper 24 is cylindrical and

comprises an upper large diameter portion and a lower large diameter portion, a space between

the upper and lower large diameter portions having a smaller diameter for fitting into the notched

base plate of the mounting plate, as shown mainly in FIG. 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,650

Art Unit: 2627

knowledge.

8. Claims 10-12, 17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the AAPA. (As for claims 10-12, 17) While the base (bore) 30 on tray 28 is shown in the AAPA and is inherently threaded, the AAPA is expressly silent as to the bore having a copper pillar with threads therein, however, Official Notice is taken that copper threading for screws were notoriously old and well known, and would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided such in the tray bores of the AAPA. The motivation would have been: providing copper threads in the tray base (as opposed to the same material of the tray, e.g., resin) would have provided a stronger footing for the metal screw threads, thus providing longevity to the bore/screw junction. It is noted that providing copper threaded pillars in wood bores is common in furniture to strengthen the mating of the metal screw/bolt into the furniture. With respect to claim 19 and the addition of glue on the threads, Official Notice is taken that providing glue on screw threads was notoriously old and well known, and would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided such to the AAPA apparatus. The motivation would have been: lacking any unobvious or unexpected results, providing glue on the threads would have attached the screw securely within the bore, preventing movement thereof after a period of time. (See also USP 5008873, which discusses the use of adhesive after adjusting of the optical apparatus). One having ordinary skill would have readily had such

Page 5

#### **Conclusion**

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure including USP to Iwanaga (6,249,504) and USP to Tanaka et al (5,008,873) which are cited to show adjustment mechanisms for an optical apparatus.

Art Unit: 2627

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-7578. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brian E. Miller
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2627

BEM

October 13, 2006